

# Panel Data Econometrics III (PhD)

## Static Non-Linear Models

Yonas Alem

Department of Economics  
University of Gothenburg

April 24, 2017

# Lecture Outline

- Introduction
- Random Effects Probit
- Chamberlain's RE Probit
- Fixed Effects Logit

- Wooldridge JM. 2010. *Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data*. MIT Press: Cambridge, MA. Ch. 15

# Introduction

## Linear Probability Model

- Consider the panel binary choice model

$$y_{it}^* = \mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + c_i + u_{it}, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, T, \quad (1)$$

$$y_{it} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_{it}^* > 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

- Begin with a linear model and remove the unobserved heterogeneity through a with-in transformation
- We face the same problem as the cross-sectional case (predicted probabilities outside the 0-1 range, the error term is heteroskeastic)
- Less appealing in the presence of  $c_i$

### Incidental Parameters Problem

- Assumptions:
  - ①  $D(y_{it}|\mathbf{x}_i, c_i) \equiv D(y_{it}|\mathbf{x}_{i1}, \mathbf{x}_{i2}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{iT}, c_i) = D(y_{it}|\mathbf{x}_{it}, c_i)$ ,  $t = 1, \dots, T$ . (strict exogeneity of  $\mathbf{x}_{it}$  expressed in terms of conditional distributions)
  - ②  $Pr(y_{it} = 1|\mathbf{x}_{it}, c_i) = \Phi(\mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + c_i)$  a response probability
  - ③  $y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT}$  are independent conditional on  $(\mathbf{x}_i, c_i)$
- The density of  $(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT})$  conditional on  $(\mathbf{x}_i, c_i)$ :

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_T|\mathbf{x}_i, c_i; \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \prod_{t=1}^T f(y_t|\mathbf{x}_{it}, c_i; \boldsymbol{\beta}), \quad (3)$$

- Where  $f(y_t|\mathbf{x}_{it}, c_i; \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \Phi(\mathbf{x}_t\boldsymbol{\beta} + c) y_t [1 - \Phi(\mathbf{x}_t\boldsymbol{\beta} + c)]^{1-y_t}$
- Ideally, we can try estimating the model without restricting the relationship between  $c_i$  and  $\mathbf{x}_{it}$
- Thus, the  $c_i$  would be parameters to be estimated along with  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$

### Incidental Parameters Problem Cont.

- Estimation using the log-likelihood function  $\sum_{i=1}^N \ell_i(c_i, \beta)$ , where  $\ell_i(c_i, \beta)$  is the log of (3) would be:
  - Computationally difficult
  - Introduces what is called the **incidental parameters problem**
    - Unlike the linear case, here for a fixed  $T$ , as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  estimating  $c_i$  for each individual along with  $\beta$  leads to inconsistent estimation of  $\beta$
    - In maximum likelihood framework the number of regressors is fixed, but here it grows with  $N$
    - The problem persists unless  $T$  gets very large
    - Under this case, it is possible to show that  $plim \hat{\beta} \rightarrow 2\beta$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$

# Random Effects Probit Estimator

- We need a better approach that integrates out  $c$  from the log-likelihood function
- Consider the panel binary choice model in its latent form again

$$y_{it}^* = \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + c_i + u_{it}, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, T, \quad (4)$$

$$y_{it} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_{it}^* > 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

## Assumptions

- We need a 4<sup>th</sup> assumption on the relationship between  $c_i$  and  $\mathbf{x}_i$  in addition to [1]-[3]

$$c_i | \mathbf{x}_i \sim \text{Normal}(0, \sigma_c^2) \quad (6)$$

Thus

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_T | \mathbf{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \prod_{t=1}^T f(y_t | \mathbf{x}_{it}, c; \boldsymbol{\beta}) \right] (1/\sigma_c) \phi(c/\sigma_c) dc, \quad \text{7/15}$$

## Random Effects Probit Estimator Cont.

- Where  $f(y_t|x_t, c; \beta) = \Phi(x_t\beta + c)^{y_t} [1 - \Phi(x_t\beta + c)]^{1-y_t}$ , and  $\theta$  contains  $\beta$  and  $\sigma_c^2$
- One could get the conditional log likelihood for each  $i$  by plugging in  $y_{it} \forall t$ , and taking the log of the above likelihood function in 7
- $\sqrt{N}$ -consistent asymptotically normal estimators can be obtained by maximizing the entire sample of  $N$  log-likelihood w.r.t  $\beta$  and  $\sigma_c^2$
- The integral in (7) cannot be solved analytically but can be approximated by a numerical method known as **Gauss-Hermite Quadrature** developed by Butler and Moffitt (1982)
- The correlation in the composite error term ( $v_{it} = c_i + u_{it}$ ) between two periods measured as  $\rho = \sigma_c^2 / (\sigma_c^2 + 1)$  is a useful indicator of the relative importance of  $c_i$
- Stata command: **xtprobit**



# Chamberlain's CRE Probit Model

- Chamberlain's model relaxes assumption (6), i.e.,  $(c_i | \mathbf{x}_i \sim Normal(0, \sigma_c^2))$  and allows for correlation between  $c_i$  and  $\mathbf{x}_{it}$
- Does so following Mundlak (1978) and assuming a conditional normal distribution with linear expectation and constant variance as:

$$c_i | \mathbf{x}_i \sim Normal(\psi + \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i \tilde{\zeta}, \sigma_a^2) \quad (8)$$

- Where  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i$  is the average of  $\mathbf{x}_{it}$ ,  $t = 1, \dots, T$  and  $\sigma_a^2$  is the variance of  $a_i$  in the equation  $c_i = \psi + \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i \tilde{\zeta} + a_i$
- Chamberlain allowed more generality by having  $\mathbf{x}_i$ , the vector of all explanatory variables across all time periods, in place of  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i$
- The corresponding latent model would look like the following:

$$y_{it}^* = \psi + \mathbf{x}_{it} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i \tilde{\zeta} + a_i + u_{it} \quad (9)$$

# Chamberlain's CRE Probit Model

- Where  $u_{it} \sim (0, 1)$  and  $a_i \sim Normal(0, \sigma_a^2)$
- This model is known as **Chamberlain's Correlated Random Effects Probit Model**
- The model looks restrictive in the sense that it specifies a certain distribution of  $c_i$  over  $x_i$  but is appealing since it allows for correlation between the two
- A test  $H_0 : \xi = 0$  could be obtained for the RE probit (i.e., tests whether allowing for correlation was useful or not)

# The Fixed Effects Logit Model

- Applies a conditional maximum likelihood estimator
- Considers the likelihood function conditional upon a set of statistics  $n_i$  that are sufficient for  $c_i$ 
  - Conditional upon  $n_i$  an individual's likelihood contribution no longer depends upon  $c_i$  but still depends upon the other parameters  $\beta$
- In the panel data binary choice model, the existence of a sufficient statistics depends upon the functional form of  $F$ , so the approach works only in the logit model
- Let the joint density or probability mass function be given as  $y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT} = f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT} | c_i, \beta)$
- If a sufficient statistics  $n_i \equiv \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it}$  exists  $\Rightarrow$   
 $f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT} | n_i, c_i, \beta) = f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT} | n_i, \beta)$
- Maximize the conditional likelihood function based up on  $f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT} | n_i, \beta)$  to get a consistent estimator of  $\beta$

# The Fixed Effects Logit Model cont.

- Consider  $T = 2 \implies n_i = \{0, 1, 2\}$
- The conditional distribution of  $(y_{i1}, y_{i2})'$  given  $n_i$  won't be informative for  $\beta$  when  $n_i = 0$  or  $n_i = 2$  because these values completely determine the outcome of  $y_i$
- But if  $n = 1$ ,

$$P(y_{i2} = 1 | \mathbf{x}_i, c_i, n_i = 1) = \frac{P(y_{i2} = 1, n_i = 1 | \mathbf{x}_i, c_i)}{P(n_i = 1 | \mathbf{x}_i, c_i)} \quad (10)$$

$$= \frac{P(y_{i2} = 1 | \mathbf{x}_i, c_i) P(y_{i1} = 0 | \mathbf{x}_i, c_i)}{\{P(y_{i1} = 0, y_{i2} = 1 | \mathbf{x}_i, c_i) + P(y_{i1} = 1, y_{i2} = 0 | \mathbf{x}_i, c_i)\}} \quad (11)$$

$$= \frac{\Lambda(\mathbf{x}_{i2}\beta + c_i)[1 - \Lambda(\mathbf{x}_{i1}\beta + c_i)]}{\{[1 - \Lambda(\mathbf{x}_{i1}\beta + c_i)]\Lambda(\mathbf{x}_{i2}\beta + c_i) + \Lambda(\mathbf{x}_{i1}\beta + c_i)[1 - \Lambda(\mathbf{x}_{i2}\beta + c_i)]\}} \quad (12)$$

## The Fixed Effects Logit Model cont.

$$= \Lambda[(\mathbf{x}_{i2} - \mathbf{x}_{i1})\boldsymbol{\beta}]. \quad (13)$$

- Similarly,  $P(y_{i1} = 1 | \mathbf{x}_i, c_i, n_i = 1) = \Lambda[-(\mathbf{x}_{i2} - \mathbf{x}_{i1})\boldsymbol{\beta}] = 1 - \Lambda[(\mathbf{x}_{i2} - \mathbf{x}_{i1})\boldsymbol{\beta}]$ .
- The conditional likelihood for observation  $i$  is given as

$$\ell_i = 1[n_i = 1](w_i \log \Lambda[(\mathbf{x}_{i2} - \mathbf{x}_{i1})\boldsymbol{\beta}] + (1 - w_i) \log \{1 - \Lambda[(\mathbf{x}_{i2} - \mathbf{x}_{i1})\boldsymbol{\beta}]\}) \quad (14)$$

- Where  $w_i = 1$  if  $(y_{i1} = 0, y_{i2} = 1)$  and  $w_i = 0$  if  $(y_{i1} = 1, y_{i2} = 0)$
- As indicated earlier observations that do not change their status (*i.e.*,  $n_i = 0$  or  $n_i = 2$ ) are excluded and don't contribute to the log-likelihood

## The Fixed Effects Logit Model cont.

- The Log-likelihood function stated in Eq (14) is just a standard cross-sectional logit for  $w_i$  on  $(x_{i2} - x_{i1})$
- The approach is analogous to first differencing in the linear case
- As the model uses Conditional Maximum Likelihood Estimator on (14), it is also called the **Conditional Logit Estimator**
- Note: this estimator does not arise by treating the  $c_i$ s as parameters to be estimated along  $\beta$  just like we tried in the FE probit model which is significantly biased due to the incidental parameter problem!
- This model is extendable to the case where  $T > 2$  in a straightforward fashion.
- Stata Command: **xtlogit, fe**

## Other Common Non-linear Static Panel Data Models

- Random Effects Tobit (Stata command: **xttobit**)
- Random Effects Multinomial Logit (Stata command: **Gllamm**)
- Random Effects Ordered Probit (Stata command: **reoprobit**)