

Development Economics (PhD)

Intertemporal Utility Maximization

Yonas Alem

Department of Economics
University of Gothenburg

October 7, 2015

Two Period Utility Maximization

Lagrange Multiplier Method

- Consider a two-period (period 1 and period 2) problem
- The two-period utility function:

$$U = u(c_1) + \frac{1}{1 + \delta} u(c_2) \quad (1)$$

- Standard assumption: $u'(\cdot) > 0$, and $u''(\cdot) < 0$
- Period 1 budget constraint:

$$Y_1 + (1 + r)A_0 = c_1 + A_1 \quad (2)$$

- $A_0 > 0, \implies$ inheritance
- $A_0 < 0, \implies$ debt from previous generation
- Period 2 budget constraint:

Two Period Utility Maximization

Lagrange Multiplier Method Cont.

- Period 2 budget constraint:

$$Y_2 = (1 + r)A_1 = c_2 + A_2 \quad (3)$$

- For simplicity, assume $A_0 = 0$, \implies no inheritance, and no debt; $A_2 = 0$ no bequest/no debt in the final year (A_2) \implies

$$\max_{c_1, c_2} U = u(c_1) + \frac{1}{1 + \delta} u(c_2) \quad s.t \quad (4)$$

$$Y_1 = c_1 + A_1 \quad (5)$$

$$Y_2 + (1 + r)A_1 = c_2 \quad (6)$$

Two Period Utility Maximization

Lagrange Multiplier Method Cont.

- Use the Lagrange multiplier method

$$L = u(c_1) + \frac{1}{1+\delta}u(c_2) + \lambda_1(Y_1 - c_1 - A_1) \\ + \lambda_2(Y_2 + (1+r)A_1 - c_2) \quad (7)$$

- FOCs for c_1, c_2, A_1, λ_1 and λ_2

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial c_1} = u'(c_1) - \lambda_1 = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial c_2} = \frac{1}{1+\delta}u'(c_2) - \lambda_2 = 0 \quad (9)$$

Two Period Utility Maximization

Lagrange Multiplier Method Cont.

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial A_1} = -\lambda_1 + (1+r)\lambda_2 = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = Y_1 = c_1 + A_1 \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} = Y_2 + (1+r)A_1 = c_2 \quad (12)$$

- (8) – (10) \implies

$$\lambda_1 = u'(c_1) \quad (13)$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{1+\delta} u'(c_2) \quad \text{and} \quad (14)$$

Two Period Utility Maximization

Lagrange Multiplier Method Cont.

$$-\lambda_1 + (1 + r)\lambda_2 = 0 \quad (15)$$

- Substituting equations (13) and (14) into (15) gives

$$u'(c_1) = \frac{1 + r}{1 + \delta} u'(c_2) \quad (16)$$

- Eq. (16) is known as the **Euler Equation**

Finite Horizon Utility Maximization

Dynamic Programming

- Consider the lifetime utility function of the consumer, $U =$:

$$u(c_1) + \frac{1}{1+\delta}u(c_2) + \left(\frac{1}{1+\delta}\right)^2u(c_3) + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{1+\delta}\right)^{T-1}u(c_T) \quad (17)$$

$$\left[= \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\frac{1}{1+\delta}\right)^{T-1}u(c_t)\right] \quad s.t \quad (18)$$

- The optimization problem of the consumer is given as follows:

Finite Horizon Utility Maximization

Dynamic Programming Cont.

$$\max_{c_t} [U = \sum_{t=1}^T (\frac{1}{1+\delta})^{T-1} u(c_t)] \quad s.t \quad (19)$$

$$A_t = (1+r)A_{t-1} + Y_t - c_t \quad (20)$$

- In dynamic optimization, A_t is called the **State Variable**, representing the total amount of resources available to the consumer
- c_t is called **control variable** which must be chosen by the consumer to maximize utility
- Note that the level of consumption chosen at any time t (given A_{t-1}) affects the level of wealth available in period $t+1$
- The optimization problem of the consumer would be solved recursively (from period 1 to T)

Finite Horizon Utility Maximization

Dynamic Programming Cont.

- Let the value function (the maximized value of the objective function) in $t = 1$ given an initial stock of wealth A_0 be given by

$$V_1(A_0) = \max_{c_1} \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\frac{1}{1+\delta}\right)^{T-1} u(c_t) \quad (21)$$

$$V_1(A_0) = \max_{c_1} \left\{ u(c_1) + \frac{1}{1+\delta} u(c_2) + \left(\frac{1}{1+\delta}\right)^2 u(c_3) + \dots \right.$$

$$\left. + \left(\frac{1}{1+\delta}\right)^{T-1} u(c_T) \right\} \quad (22)$$

Finite Horizon Utility Maximization

Dynamic Programming Cont.

$$V_1(A_0) = \max_{c_1} \left\{ u(c_1) + \frac{1}{1+\delta} \left[\sum_{t=2}^T \left(\frac{1}{1+\delta} \right)^{T-2} u(c_t) \right] \right\} \quad s.t \quad (23)$$

$$A_t = (1+r)A_{t-1} + Y_t - c_t \quad (24)$$

- Given an initial stock of wealth from period 1, A_1 the consumer again maximizes utility in period 2 subject to the wealth constraint given in (24) above
- Thus the value function in period 2 would be

$$V_2(A_1) = \max_{c_2} \sum_{t=2}^T \left(\frac{1}{1+\delta} \right)^{T-2} u(c_t) \quad (25)$$

- Substituting equation (25) into (23) yields

Finite Horizon Utility Maximization

Dynamic Programming Cont.

$$V_1(A_0) = \max_{c_1} \left[u(c_1) + \frac{1}{1+\delta} V_2(A_1) \right] \quad (26)$$

- If the consumer optimizes in such a way,

$$V_t(A_{t-1}) = \max_{c_t} \left[u(c_t) + \frac{1}{1+\delta} V_{t+1}(A_t) \right] \quad (27)$$

- Equation (27) is known as the **Bellman Equation**
- Drop the subscript t in the value function

$$V(A_{t-1}) = \max_{c_t} \left[u(c_t) + \frac{1}{1+\delta} V(A_t) \right] \quad (28)$$

Finite Horizon Utility Maximization

Dynamic Programming Cont.

- Differentiate the Bellman equation w.r.t c_t

$$\frac{\partial V(A_{t-1})}{\partial c_t} = 0 \quad (29)$$

$$\iff u'(c_t) + \frac{1}{1+\delta} V'(A_t) \frac{\partial A_t}{\partial c_t} = 0 \quad (30)$$

- Since $\partial A_t / \partial c_t = -1$ from e.q (24), we can rewrite (30) as

$$u'(c_t) - \frac{1}{1+\delta} V'(A_t) = 0 \quad (31)$$

- We need to solve for $V'(A_t)$ in eq (31) to complete the optimization
- Differentiate the Bellman equation given in (28) w.r.t A_{t-1}

Finite Horizon Utility Maximization

Dynamic Programming Cont.

$$V'(A_{t-1}) = \left(\frac{1}{1+\delta}\right)V'(A_t)\frac{\partial A_t}{\partial A_{t-1}} \quad (32)$$

$$V'(A_{t-1}) = \left(\frac{1+r}{1+\delta}\right)V'(A_t) \quad (33)$$

- Eq (31) \implies :

$$V'(A_t) = (1+\delta)u'(c_t) \quad (34)$$

- Eq. (34) \implies

$$V'(A_{t-1}) = (1+\delta)u'(c_{t-1}) \quad (35)$$

- Substituting (34) and (35) into (33) gives

$$u'(c_t) = \frac{1+r}{1+\delta}u'(c_{t+1}) \quad (36)$$

Finite Horizon Utility Maximization

Dynamic Programming Cont.

- If $\delta = r$,

$$u'(c_t) = u'(c_{t+1}) \quad (37)$$